UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,369	09/08/2005	Erwin Lock	10191/4075	4506
²⁶⁶⁴⁶ KENYON & K	7590 11/06/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV		TO, TUAN C		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/519,369	LOCK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	TUAN C. TO	3663
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 12-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest signare allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examination Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 21 December 2004 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is provided in the correction of the correction o	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. /are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected or bojon objected or bojo	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shehan et al. (US 6122588A).

Regarding claims 12, and 13, Shehan et al. discloses a method for controlling a speed of a vehicle comprising the act of activating a service brake of the vehicle when

an actual speed of the vehicle exceeds a predefined set-point speed by more than a first predefined speed difference, wherein the first predefined speed difference has a value greater than zero. As set forth in column 4, lines 14-33, in the system (10), the actual vehicle speed is compared to a target or desired vehicle speed which may be indicated by a cruise control set speed (32) in order to generate a speed error, wherein said speed error is a predefined speed difference. When the actual speed exceeds the set-point speed, the speed error is used to determine the continuously braking torque to at least one wheel (52-58) of the vehicle to reduce the speed error toward zero (see further in figure 1). In column 5, lines 5-18, Shehan et al. further teaches a flow chart illustrating control logic including the teaching of "if the speed error is within predetermined limits, a torque correction or adjustment is not required, and control returns back to block (102)".

Shehan et al. does not mention "preventing activation of the service brake if the actual speed of the vehicle exceeds the predefined setpoint speed by less than the first predefined speed difference".

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system/method as taught by Shehan et al to use the control system presented in Shehan et al. to deactivate the vehicle service brake when actual speed of the vehicle exceeds the setpoint speed by less than the speed error.

As to claim 14, Shehan et al. discloses when the actual speed exceeds the setpoint speed, reducing first a torque request of a driving speed control (see column 5, lines 19-22). Application/Control Number: 10/519,369 Page 4

Art Unit: 3663

Response to Arguments

It is noted that the applicant's comments regarding the previous final rejection is persuasive, thus the final rejection has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/519,369 Page 5

Art Unit: 3663

/Tuan C To/

Primary Examiner of Art Unit 3663/3600

November 5, 2008